

Interview Summary	Application N	Applicant(s)
	09/462,765	GUTMAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew O Savage	1723

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Matthew O Savage. (3) _____.

(2) John M. Belz. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 07 May 2003.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Art of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Agreed that the generic materials associated with the tradenames SUPOR and FLUORODYNE would be added to the specification and claims to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. It was agreed that a declaration would be provided to specify the generic material associated with the tradenames at the time the invention was made. Claim 1 and the prior art were discussed but no agreement was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required